February 28, 1952

Dr. D. H. Wenrich Zoological Laboratory University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia 4, Ba.

Dear Dr. Menrich:

Thank you for your letter of the 25th. I will await instructions on the preparation of the manuscript.

Your question about the status of cytological swidence is a little difficult to answer. The field is so controversial, especial in the limited area that is directly pertinent to the question of sexuality, that I do not think it likely that you would get a balanced exposition from any of the main protagonists -- the workers that you mentioned. Unless you are prepared to accept a paper from each of these workers, I would (in confidence) recommend against having any one. Anyhow, the main burden of the controversy is only obliquely germane to the problem of sexuality, and has rather to do with the mechanisms of cell and "nuclear" division. I had planned myself to refer very briefly to this question as an outsider, but will gladly defer to a more complete exposition by other authors. I am very sorry not to have seen the work of Drs. Hutchinson and Stempen, but I wonder if between their comments and mine we will not already have aired the subject adequately.

Joshua Laderberg.